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Abstract: A receding horizon FIR filter is suggested for discrete time-varying systems, combining the Kalman filter with the receding horizon strategy. The suggested filter is shown to be an FIR structure that has many good inherent properties. The suggested filter is represented in an iterative form and also in a standard FIR form similar to existing optimal FIR filters. The standard FIR form of the RH FIR filter in the current paper provides simpler algorithms for obtaining filter gains than existing optimal FIR filters. It is shown that the RH FIR filter becomes a remarkable deadbeat observer when applied to noise-free systems. It is also shown that the RH FIR filter is an unbiased estimator irrespective of any horizon initial condition. The suggested RH FIR filter would be a BLUE for discrete time-varying systems.

In the recent decades, square-root algorithms for state estimation have been preferred for implementation of the Kalman filtering and smoothing formulas [8][10]. They have been found to have several advantages in terms of the numerical stability which improves computational reliability, and the amenability to parallel and systolic implementation which overcomes computational burden. Therefore, a square-root algorithm for the suggested RH FIR filter will be established in the current paper.

II. RH FIR filters

Consider a linear discrete time-varying state-space model with control inputs

\[ x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k + G_k w_k, \]
\[ y_k = C_k x_k + v_k \]

where \( x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is a state, and \( u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( y_k \in \mathbb{R}^q \) are a known input and a measured output, respectively. The initial state \( x_{k_0} \) is a random variable with a mean \( \hat{x}_{k_0} \) and a covariance \( \Sigma_{k_0} \). The system noise \( w_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and the measurement noise \( v_k \in \mathbb{R}^q \) are zero-mean white Gaussian and mutually uncorrelated. The covariances of \( w_k \) and \( v_k \) are denoted by \( Q_k \) and \( R_k \), respectively. These noises are uncorrelated with the initial state \( x_{k_0} \). Matrices are assumed to be bounded for simplicity.

It is well known that the following Kalman filtering algorithm provides a minimum variance state estimate \( \hat{x}_k \), called the one-step predicted estimate of the system state \( x_k \) with control inputs [3]:

\[ \hat{x}_{k+1} = A_k \hat{x}_k + [A_k P_k C_k^T (R_k + C_k P_k C_k^T)^{-1}] (y_k - C_k \hat{x}_k) + B_k u_k, \]


Pyung Soo Kim, Wook Hyun Kwon: School of Electrical Engineering, Seoul National University
\[ P_{i+1} = A_i (P_i + C_i R_i C_i^T)^{-1} A_i^T + G_i Q_i G_i^T \]  
(4)

where \( \hat{x}_i = \bar{x}_i \), and \( P_i \) is the error covariance of the estimate \( \hat{x}_i \) and \( \bar{P}_i = \Sigma_i \).

When the covariance of the initial state is very large but not infinite, one usually uses an information filter form [8], assuming that \( A_i \) is nonsingular. We can define

\[ \Omega_k = P_{i-1}^{-1}, \quad \bar{\Omega}_k = \Omega_k + C_i^T R_i C_i \]

if \( P_i \) is nonsingular. Then, the equation (4) is written as

\[ \Omega_{i-1} = I + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_i A_i + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_i G_i Q_i G_i^T A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_i A_i \]

where \( \Omega_{i-1} = \Sigma_i^{-1} \). Therefore, the information form of the Kalman filter (3) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}_{i+1} &= A_i \hat{x}_i + C_i \eta_i, \\
\hat{x}_{i+1} &= A_i \hat{x}_i + C_i \eta_i^T y_i + B_i \mu_i \\
&= A_i \bar{\Omega}_i^{-1} \hat{x}_i + A_i \bar{\Omega}_i^{-1} \Omega_{i-1} C_i^T R_i C_i \hat{x}_i + B_i \mu_i.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

The filter algorithm (6) uses all measurements from the initial time \( k_0 \) to provide the state estimate at the present time \( k \).

We now introduce the receding horizon strategy to the above filter (6). The RH FIR filter at the present time \( k \) uses finite measurements on the horizon \([k-N,k]\) and discards past measurements outside the horizon. We shall write \( k_N \equiv k-N \) for compactness. We will call the state at \( k_N \) the horizon initial state, denoted by \( x_{k_N} \). As mentioned previously, the horizon initial state \( x_{k_N} \) is assumed to be unknown and thus the horizon initial condition \( \hat{x}_{k_N} \) is anything at all. It follows from this that the horizon initial state must have an arbitrary mean and an infinite covariance, \( \Sigma_{k_N} = \infty \). We redefine the filter (6) at the present time \( k \) from the horizon initial time \( k_N \) under the unknown horizon initial state. The filter at the time \( k_N + i \) on the interval \( 0 \leq i \leq N-1 \) will be denoted by \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \). The filter (6) on the horizon \([k_N,k]\) then becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}_{k_N+i} &= A_i \hat{x}_{k_N+i-1} + C_i \eta_i, \\
\hat{x}_{k_N+i} &= A_i \hat{x}_{k_N+i-1} + C_i \eta_i^T y_{k_N+i} + B_i \mu_{k_N+i}, \\
&= A_i \bar{\Omega}_i^{-1} \hat{x}_{k_N+i-1} + A_i \bar{\Omega}_i^{-1} \Omega_{k_N+i-2} C_i^T R_i C_i \hat{x}_{k_N+i-1} + B_i \mu_{k_N+i},
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

where the horizon initial condition \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \) is anything at all and

\[ \Omega_{k_N+i-2} = [I + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i-1} A_i + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i-1} G_i Q_i G_i^T A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i-1}]^{-1} A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i-1} \]

(8)

with the horizon initial condition \( \Omega_{k_N+i} = 0 \).

In discrete time-varying systems, it is known that the nonsingularity of \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \) is guaranteed by uniformly complete observability of the system [11]. That is, \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \) becomes a positive definite matrix for all \( i \geq i_0 \) if \{ \( A_i, C_i \) \} is uniformly completely observable. In the filter (7), we can thus note that \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \) may be singular on the interval \( 0 \leq i < i_0 \) with \( \Omega_{k_N+i} = 0 \). In this case, \( \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} \) can be singular, thus the filter (7) cannot be defined during this interval. We can avoid this problem by pre-multiplying both sides of (7) by \( \theta_i \) to obtain

\[ \Omega_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = [I + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} A_i + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} G_i Q_i G_i^T A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i}]^{-1} A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} + C_i^T R_i C_i \hat{x}_{k_N+i} + \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} B_i \mu_{k_N+i} \]

(9)

with the horizon initial condition \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = 0 \). Since the inversion of matrix \( \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} \) disappears in (9), the singularity problem does not occur. Then, in the following theorem, the RH FIR filter is derived from (9) and can always be defined irrespective of the singularity, whereas the filter (7) cannot.

**Theorem 1:** Assume that \( \{ A_i, C_i \} \) is uniformly completely observable. When the horizon initial state \( x_{k_N+i} \) is assumed to be unknown, the RH FIR filter \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \) for discrete time-varying systems is given for any \( N \geq i_0 \) as

\[ \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = \Omega_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \]

(10)

where \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \) is obtained from the following iterative forms:

\[ \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = [I + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} A_i + A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} G_i Q_i G_i^T A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i}]^{-1} A_i^T \bar{\Omega}_{k_N+i} \]

(11)

with the horizon initial condition \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \mid \{ i = 0 \} = \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = 0 \)

In the above theorem, (11) is obtained from (9) using the subsidiary estimate defined as \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = \Omega_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \) and the horizon initial condition \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} = \Omega_{k_N+i} \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \). Fig. 1 shows the concept of suggested RH FIR filter to obtain the state estimate \( \hat{x}_{k_N+i} \) in (10) at the present time \( k \).

![Fig. 1. Concept of RH FIR filter.](image)

Although time-varying systems are often used in many areas such as detection, tracking and guidance in the aerospace industry, time-invariant systems are also used because of their simplicity. We therefore derive the RH FIR filter for time-invariant systems [6]. In time-invariant systems, the discrete Riccati equation (8) defined on the horizon \([k_N,k] \) is shift invariant and thus \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \) is independent of \( k \), denoted by \( \Omega_i \). In this case, the horizon initial condition \( \Omega_{k_N+i} \) can be represented as \( \Omega_i \). \( \Omega_i \) is obtained from (5) on the interval \( 0 \leq i \leq N-1 \) as
\[ \Omega_{i+1} = [I + A^{T}\Omega_1 A^{T}]^{1/2} A^{T}\Omega_1 A^{T}, \Omega_0 = 0. \]  
(12)

It is noted that \( \Omega_x \geq 0 \) for any \( N \geq n \). Then, the RH FIR filter \( \hat{x}_{i+1} \) for discrete time-invariant systems is given for any \( N \geq n \) as

\[ \hat{x}_{i+1} = \Omega_{i+1}^{1/2} \tilde{y}_{i+1} \]  
(13)

where \( \tilde{y}_{i+1} \) is obtained from the following iterative forms:

\[ \tilde{y}_{i+1} = [I + A^{T}\Omega_1 A^{T}]^{1/2} A^{T}(\bar{y}_{i+1} + C^{T}R^{1/2} \hat{x}_{i+1}) \]  
(14)

with the horizon initial condition \( \tilde{y}_{i+1} = 0 \).

The suggested RH FIR filter provides several advantages. It is easy to understand since it comes from a modification of the well-known Kalman filter algorithm. The suggested filter can always be defined irrespective of singularity problems caused by the infinite covariance of the horizon initial state. Since the suggested filter deals with stochastic systems with control inputs, it is possible to apply this filter to control problems of feedback control. We can also expect that the suggested filter deals with stochastic systems with control inputs.

III. Standard FIR form of RH FIR filter

The RH FIR filter (10) is an iterative form with the zero initial condition \( \hat{x}_{i+1} = 0 \). It is actually an FIR structure and can be represented in a standard FIR form similar to existing optimal FIR filters [1][2].

Define a transition matrix as

\[ \Phi_{j+i} = [I + A^{T}\Omega_{j+1} A^{T}]^{1/2} A^{T}\Phi_{j+i}, \]

(15)

where \( \Omega_{j+1} \) is obtained from (8). At time \( k \), (11) becomes

\[ \hat{x}_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} \Phi_{j+i} C^{T} A_{k} y_{k+i} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} \Phi_{j+i} \Omega_{k} A_{k} B_{k} u_{k+i}. \]

Since \( \Omega_{j+1} > 0 \), pre-multiplying both sides by \( \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} \) and defining filter gains \( H_{j+i}, L_{j+i} \) as

\[ H_{j+i} = \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} \Phi_{j+i} C^{T} A_{k} y_{k+i}, L_{j+i} = \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} \Phi_{j+i} \Omega_{k} A_{k} B_{k} u_{k+i}, \]

yields a standard FIR form as

\[ \hat{x}_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} H_{j+i} y_{k+i} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} L_{j+i} u_{k+i}. \]

(16)

This is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2: Assume that \( \{ A_{i}, C_{i} \} \) is uniformly completely observable. When the horizon initial state \( x_{i+1} \) is assumed to be unknown, the RH FIR filter \( \hat{x}_{i+1} \) can be represented in a standard FIR form (16) for any \( N \geq i_0 \).

The RH FIR filter (13) for time-invariant systems is now represented in a standard FIR form [6]. Due to the shift invariance of \( \Omega_x \) in (12), a transition matrix can be defined on the finite interval \([0, N]\) instead of \([k_x, k]\) as

\[ \Phi_{j+i} = [I + A^{T}\Omega_1 A^{T}]^{1/2} A^{T}\Phi_{j+i}, \]

(17)

where \( \Omega_{j+1} \) is obtained from (12). At time \( k \), (14) becomes

\[ \hat{x}_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} H_{j+i} y_{k+i} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} L_{j+i} u_{k+i}. \]

(18)

As shown in Fig. 2, for time-varying systems, the computation of \( \Phi_{j+i} \) is repeated for all horizons. However, for time-invariant systems, since \( \Omega_x \) is shift invariant, \( \Phi_{j+i} \) is determined only on the interval \([0, N]\) uniquely. This means that filter gains \( H_{j+i}, L_{j+i} \) require computation only on the interval \([0, N]\) once and are time-invariant for all horizons.
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Fig. 2. Computation procedure \( \Phi_{j+i} \) and \( \Phi_{i+j} \).

The standard FIR form of the suggested filter differs in several respects from existing optimal FIR filters [1][2]. It provides a predicted estimate while existing filters provide a filtered estimate. It contains external control inputs unlike existing ones. Since the suggested RH FIR filter is derived from the Kalman filter, its standard FIR form provides simpler algorithms in obtaining filter gains \( H_{j+i}, L_{j+i} \) than existing ones. Thus, the computational burden of the suggested filter is reduced in comparison to existing optimal FIR filters.

IV. Properties of RH FIR filter

In this section, it will be shown that the RH FIR filter has the deadbeat property and the unbiasedness property. In the following theorem, it will be shown that the RH FIR filter becomes a remarkable deadbeat observer when applied to
noise-free systems.

**Theorem 3:** Assume that \( \{A_k, C_k\} \) is uniformly completely observable. Then RH FIR filters (10) and (16) for discrete time-varying systems are exact for noise-free systems for any \( N \geq h \).

**Proof:** Consider a linear discrete time-varying state-space model (1) and (2) when there is no noise as

\[
x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k, 
\]

\[
y_k = C_k x_k.
\]

Since \( \Omega_{x_k | i} = 0 \), \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \Omega_{x_k | i}^T = \Omega_{x_k | i} \Omega_{x_k | i}^T = 0 \) holds for \( i = 0 \) irrespective of \( \hat{x}_{k|i} \) and \( x_{k|i} \). Assume that \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i} = \Omega_{x_k | i} x_{k|i} \) holds for \( i \). Then, from (9), we can show that \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i+1} = \Omega_{x_k | i} x_{k|i+1} \) holds for \( i+1 \) as follows:

\[
\Omega_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i+1} = [I + A_k^T \tilde{X}_{x_k | i} A_k + B_k^T \tilde{X}_{x_k | i} B_k] \Omega_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i} + \Omega_{x_k | i} A_k \Omega_{x_k | i} B_k.
\]

Therefore, \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i+1} = \Omega_{x_k | i} x_{k|i+1} \) holds for all \( i \geq 0 \). Since \( \hat{x}_{k|i} = x_{k|i} \) at the present time \( k \), \( \hat{x}_{k|i} = x_{k|i} \).

This completes the proof.

It is noted that the RH FIR filter for time-invariant systems has the deadbeat property for any \( N \geq n \) [6].

This deadbeat property indicates the finite convergence time and the fast tracking ability of the RH FIR filter. Thus, we can expect that the suggested filter would be appropriate for quick estimation and detection of signals with unknown times of occurrence, which arise in many areas such as fault detection and diagnosis of various systems, maneuver detection and target tracking of flying objects, etc. It is noted that the suggested RH FIR filter can be used as a very special deadbeat observer for noise-free systems. In this case, it is believed that this deadbeat observer is often more robust against system and measurement noises than existing ones [12][13] which did not consider the effect of these noises.

In the following theorem, it will be shown that the RH FIR filter with unknown horizon initial state is an unbiased estimator irrespective of any horizon initial condition.

**Theorem 4:** Assume that \( \{A_k, C_k\} \) is uniformly completely observable. Then RH FIR filters (10) and (16) for discrete time-varying systems are unbiased for any \( N \geq h \).

**Proof:** This is proved directly from Theorem 3.

It is noted that the RH FIR filter for time-invariant systems has the unbiasedness property for any \( N \geq n \) [6].

**V. Square-root algorithm of RH FIR filter**

So far we have derived the iterative form (10) and the standard FIR form (16) of the suggested RH FIR filter for discrete time-varying systems. It can be seen that the standard FIR form of the RH FIR filter for large \( N \) requires a large number of multiplications and a large memory for filter gains.

That is, the iterative form has advantages in computational burden and memory requirement compared with the standard FIR form. For this iterative form (10) of the RH FIR filter, we will present a square-root algorithm for easier parallel and systolic implementation as well as more reliable computation.

For convenience, we first introduce some notational conventions. When a positive definite matrix \( X \) is given, a square-root factor \( X^{1/2} \) will be defined as any matrix in such a way that \( X = (X^{1/2})(X^{1/2})^T \). In most applications, such square-root factors can be made unique by insisting that they be triangular. For convenience, we shall also write \( (X^{1/2})^T = X^{-1/2} \), \( (X^{1/2})^{-1} = X^{-1/2} \), \( (X^{-1/2})^T = X^{-T/2} \).

Thus, let us note the expression \( X = X^{1/2} X^{T/2} \). \( X^{-1} = X^{-1/2} X^{-T/2} \). We also assume that a unitary operator \( \Theta \) is applied to the \( X \) so as to get some special form of a matrix \( Y \) such as \( \Theta X = Y \), then we shall call the \( X \) a pre-array and the \( Y \) a post-array.

The matrices \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \) propagated by the discrete time-varying Riccati equation (8) can lose their theoretically required positive-definiteness because of the accumulation of numerical errors. In some situation, even the diagonal entries of \( \Omega_{x_k | i} \) may become negative, resulting in absolutely meaningless state estimates. To avoid such circumstances, it is widely recommended to propagate square-root factors, \( \Omega^{1/2}_{x_k | i} \). While numerical effects will still be present, \( \Omega^{1/2}_{x_k | i} \) is much more likely to lead to a positive definite matrix since in fact the diagonal elements of the product will now always be positive. Therefore, a square-root algorithm provides the numerical stability that improves computational reliability. It is also clear that the computation of the state estimate \( \hat{x}_{k|i} \) consists mainly of the time-consuming computation of \( \Omega^{1/2}_{x_k | i} \). In this case, the propagation of square-root factors \( \Omega^{1/2}_{x_k | i} \) has the advantage of the amenability to easier parallel and systolic implementation that overcomes computational burden. It is noted before that the RH FIR filter is often robust against numerical errors due to its FIR structure. Therefore, we can mention that the square-root algorithm for the RH FIR filter is more needed for the amenability to parallel and systolic implementation than for the numerical stability.

We now present a square-root algorithm for the RH FIR filter by combining the information form square-root algorithm [9] for the Kalman filter with the receding horizon strategy. We define \( \hat{\tilde{X}}_{x_k | i} = \Omega^{1/2}_{x_k | i} \hat{x}_{k|i} \). Applying inner- and cross-products of the array rows, we can establish the square-root algorithm for the RH FIR filter (10) with control inputs on the horizon \( \{k_N, k\} \) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
- A^T_{k+i} C_{k+i}^T R^{-1/2}_{k+i} \\
G^T_{k+i} A^T_{k+i} C_{k+i}^T R^{-1/2}_{k+i}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(\hat{\tilde{X}}^T_{x_k | i} + u^T_{k+i} B^T_{k+i} A^T_{k+i} C_{k+i} R^{-1/2}_{k+i}) R^{-T/2}_{k+i}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
It can be seen from (19) that an intermediate variable to triangularizes the first two rows of the pre-array.

Therefore, it may be expected that the unknown input estimation using the RH FIR filter can provide quicker estimation than the approach using IIR filter such as the Kalman filter that doesn't provide a deadbeat property.

Therefore, in this section, the RH FIR filter based unknown input estimation and the Kalman filter based Friedland's approach in [15] are compared. Two approaches are applied to the problem of the following DC motor system:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{k+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0005 & -0.0084 \\ 0.0517 & 0.8069 \end{bmatrix} x_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1815 \\ 1.7902 \end{bmatrix} u_k \\
& \quad + \begin{bmatrix} 0.0129 & 0 \\ -1.2504 & 0 \end{bmatrix} p_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0.0006 \\ 0.0057 \end{bmatrix} v_k, \\
y_k &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} p_k + v_k. 
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

(21)

When the unknown inputs \( p_k = [p_k^1 \ p_k^2]^T \) are modeled as step function that changes at \( k = 100 \) with the magnitude of 0.5 and the second one \( p_k^2 \) is modeled as zero. The horizon length is taken as \( N = 10 \). As shown in Fig. 3 and 4, the RH FIR filter based approach has a quicker estimation performance than the Friedland's approach at time of unknown input occurrence. In addition, in the RH FIR filter based approach, the first constant unknown input does not affect the estimate of the second unknown input. However, in the Friedland's approach, the first constant unknown input affects the estimate of the second unknown input.

Besides this application, the RH FIR filter for time-varying systems might be useful for various applications which require time-varying system. In time-invariant systems, the RH FIR filter has turn out to be a useful practice for solving problems of filter divergence due to modeling uncertainty [6], for estimating signal with quasi-periodic components [16], etc.

**VI. Application to unknown input estimation**

It was mentioned previously that the RH FIR filter has a deadbeat property, which means the finite convergence and the quick tracking ability of the RH FIR filter. It is also known that the increase of the number of observations for a detection decision will increase the detection delay in detecting a signal with unknown time of occurrence [14]. Therefore, it may be expected that the unknown input estimation using the RH FIR filter can provide quicker estimation than the approach using FIR filter such as the Kalman filter that doesn't provide a deadbeat property.

Therefore, in this section, the RH FIR filter based unknown input estimation and the Kalman filter based Friedland's approach in [15] are compared. Two approaches are applied to the problem of the following DC motor system:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{k+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0005 & -0.0084 \\ 0.0517 & 0.8069 \end{bmatrix} x_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1815 \\ 1.7902 \end{bmatrix} u_k \\
& \quad + \begin{bmatrix} 0.0129 & 0 \\ -1.2504 & 0 \end{bmatrix} p_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0.0006 \\ 0.0057 \end{bmatrix} v_k, \\
y_k &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x_k + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} p_k + v_k. 
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

(21)

When the unknown inputs \( p_k = [p_k^1 \ p_k^2]^T \) are modeled as step function that changes at \( k = 100 \) with the magnitude of 0.5 and the second one \( p_k^2 \) is modeled as zero. The horizon length is taken as \( N = 10 \). As shown in Fig. 3 and 4, the RH FIR filter based approach has a quicker estimation performance than the Friedland's approach at time of unknown input occurrence. In addition, in the RH FIR filter based approach, the first constant unknown input does not affect the estimate of the second unknown input. However, in the Friedland's approach, the first constant unknown input affects the estimate of the second unknown input.

Besides this application, the RH FIR filter for time-varying systems might be useful for various applications which require time-varying system. In time-invariant systems, the RH FIR filter has turn out to be a useful practice for solving problems of filter divergence due to modeling uncertainty [6], for estimating signal with quasi-periodic components [16], etc.

**VII. Conclusion**

Some contributions of the current work can be briefly summarized as follows. The derivation of the suggested RH FIR filter is easier to understand than previous results since it comes from a modification of the well known Kalman filter. The iterative form of the suggested filter can always be obtained irrespective of singularity problems caused by unknown information about the horizon initial state. It has been shown
that the suggested iterative filter can be represented in a standard FIR form, which provides simpler algorithms for obtaining filter gains than existing optimal FIR filters. The suggested filter includes a control input term and thus can be applied to feedback control problems. As a by-product, we obtain a remarkable deadbeat observer, which indicates the finite convergence time and the fast tracking ability of the suggested filter. The square-root algorithm for the suggested filter will provide many advantages with respect to the amenability to parallel and systolic implementation as well as with respect to the numerical stability.
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