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Abstract: The proposed inertial measurement unit (IMU) is composed of accelerometers only. It can determine a vehicle’s position and attitude, which is the Gyro-free INS. The Gyro-free INS error is deeply affected by the sensor bias, scale factor and misalignment. However, these parameters can be obtained in the laboratory. After these misalignments are corrected, the Gyro-free strap-down INS could be more accurate. This paper presents a compensator design for the strap-down six-accelerometer INS to correct misalignment. A calibration experiment is taken to get the error parameters. A simulation results show that it will decrease the INS error to enhance the performance after compensation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The inertial navigation system (INS) is designed to obtain the vehicle dynamic states. The INS is a self-contained system which does not need other information or additional instrumentation [1,2]. The Gyro-free INS which is without a gyroscope equipment is used to determine the velocity, position and attitude of a vehicle in motion. To use linear accelerometers to measure a moving vehicle was first proposed by Schuler in 1967 [3]. Schuler proposed a moving vehicle analysis method requested at least nine accelerometers. A novel scheme, using just six accelerometers, was proposed by Chen [4]. The accelerations of rotation and location of a vehicle were computed using six accelerometer measurements. The error effect is an important factor in INS. However the misalignment is an important error source for a six-accelerometer INS. The error of six-accelerometer INS is sensitive to time. In 2000, Mostov applied Chen’s theory to design a Gyro-free inertial navigation system and then proposed a method to estimate the system errors [5]. This research provides a calibration method to obtain the misalignment and a compensator design for compensating the misalignment of six-accelerometer INS. After compensate, the navigation accuracy of six-accelerometer scheme will be improved obviously.

2. NAVIGATION EQUATION

2.1. Time derivative for the direction cosine matrix

Consider a time-variant direction cosine matrix, $C_b^i(t)$ that transforms a column matrix from body coordinates, $b$, into inertial coordinates, $i$. The geometry of the body-frame and inertial-frame are shown as Fig. 1.

The time derivative for the direction cosine matrix can be expressed as [6]

$$
\dot{C}_b^i = C_b^i \left[ a_{b_i}^b \times \right].
$$

(1)

2.2. Position equation

In Fig. 1, we define the relationship between body-frame and inertial-frame as

- $\vec{R}$ denotes the accelerometer position vector from $O_I$ to the accelerometer $P$. $\vec{R}$ is represented in inertial frame, which is denoted as $\vec{R}^i$.
- $\vec{r}$ denotes the vehicle position vector from $O_I$ to the center of the six-accelerometer scheme $O_b$. $\vec{r}$ is represented in body frame, which is denoted as $\vec{r}^b$.
- $\vec{\rho}$ denotes the accelerometer position vector from the center of the six-accelerometer scheme $O_b$ to the accelerometer $P$. $\vec{\rho}$ is represented in body-frame, which is denoted as $\vec{\rho}^b$.
The vehicle position $\vec{r}$ can be represented in inertial frame through transformation matrix $C_i^b$, that is

$$\vec{r}^i = C_i^b \vec{r}^b. \quad (2)$$

Form (2), the position equation is yielded as

$$\vec{v}^i = C_i^b \left( \vec{v}^b + \vec{\omega}_b \times \vec{r}^b \right). \quad (3)$$

2.3. Velocity equation

The six-accelerometer configuration is shown as Fig. 2, and the positional vector and sensitive vector of each accelerometer are shown as Table 1. According to Chen’s paper, the six-accelerometer INS dynamic equation that considers the attitude and gravitation $G^i$ effects will be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\omega}_b \\ \vec{C}_i^{b,\vec{j}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} S/T \\ \rho \\ P \end{bmatrix} A + \rho \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_x \omega_y \\ \omega_y \omega_z \\ \omega_z \omega_x \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where
- $A_j = s_j + C_i^b \vec{G}^i \cdot \vec{\theta}_j$; $j = 1, \ldots, 6$,
- $s_j$ is the measurement of accelerometer $j$,
- $\rho$ is the distance from the body-frame origin to each accelerometer,
- $A = [A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6]^T$ is the acceleration array,
- $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the position matrix,
- $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the orientation matrix.

From (4), the velocity equation is

$$\vec{v}^i = C_i^b \left( \frac{1}{2} T A + \rho \begin{bmatrix} \omega_x \omega_x \\ \omega_y \omega_y \\ \omega_z \omega_z \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (5)$$

The transformation matrix $C_i^b$ can be found from (1).

2.4. Angular rate equation

From (4), the angular rate is

$$\vec{\omega}_{ib} = \frac{1}{2} S / \rho A. \quad (6)$$

3. ERROR EQUATION

The errors of the system of six-accelerometer INS involve bias, misalignment and electronic counting errors. We will depict in detail for the error equations of a six-accelerometer INS in this paper.
3.1. Attitude error equation

The attitude of a vehicle is dependent on the time derivative of the direction cosine matrix \( C_b \). The relationship between computed transformation matrix \( \hat{C}_b \) and ideal transformation matrix \( C_b \) is [7]

\[
\hat{C}_b = \left( I - \left[ \hat{\phi} \times \right] \right) C_b.
\]  

By defining

\[
\delta C_b = -\left[ \hat{\phi} \times \right] C_b, \quad \delta \phi = \delta \hat{\phi}_b + \delta \hat{\theta}_b.
\]

The attitude error equation can be yielded as

\[
\delta \dot{\phi} = -C_b \delta \hat{\phi}_b.
\]  

3.2. Position error equation

The computed velocity is assumed as the summation of error and ideal velocity, that is

\[
\dot{v}_c = \dot{v}_i + \delta \dot{v}_i.
\]

From the above equation, the position error equation is

\[
\delta \dot{x} = \delta \dot{v}_i.
\]

3.3. Velocity error equation

By assuming the computed velocity equation (5) is the summation of error and ideal velocity. It is

\[
\dot{v}_c = \left( C_b + \delta C_b \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( s_i + g_t + \delta s + \delta g \right) + \delta \phi + \delta \phi^2.
\]

where

\[
\delta \phi = \left[ \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z \right]^T, \quad \delta \phi^2 = \left[ \omega_x \delta \omega_x + \omega_y \delta \omega_y + \omega_z \delta \omega_z \right]^T, \quad \delta g = \left[ \delta g_1, \delta g_2, \delta g_3, \delta g_5, \delta g_4, \delta g_6 \right]^T,
\]

\[
\delta g_{ij} = \delta C_b \tilde{g}^i \cdot \delta \dot{j}.
\]

From the above equation the velocity error equation is

\[
\delta \dot{v}_i = C_b \left( \frac{1}{2} T \left( s_i + g_t \right) + \delta \phi \right) \times \dot{\phi} + C_b \left( \frac{1}{2} T \left( \delta s + \delta g \right) + \delta \phi^2 \right).
\]  

3.4. Angular velocity error equation

Similar to above derivation, it can find the error equation of angular velocity, that is

\[
\delta \ddot{\theta}_b = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( \delta s + \delta g \right).
\]  

4. SCHEME CALIBRATION

The navigation error of six-accelerometer INS involves the bias, scale factor and misalignment which contain orientation and location error. The orientation error, bias and scale factor of each accelerometer will be determined by the orientation calibration which uses the gravity effect. The key element in orientation calibration is that the sensitive axes of each accelerometer must be linearly independent. We divide six accelerometers into two sets that are set \( \left[ \hat{\theta}_2 \hat{\theta}_3 \hat{\theta}_5 \right] \) and set \( \left[ \hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\theta}_4 \hat{\theta}_6 \right] \), and then calibrate each set to get the error parameters. The location error \( \Delta \dot{\varphi} \) will be determined by the location calibration which uses the centripetal force effect.

4.1. Orientation calibration

\( C_{a} \) is a transformation matrix which is used to convert the ideal sensitive axes into the realistic sensitive axes. The transformation matrix of set \( \left[ \hat{\theta}_2 \hat{\theta}_3 \hat{\theta}_5 \right] \) is defined as

\[
C_{a2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta \beta_{xz} & -\Delta \beta_{xy} \\ -\Delta \beta_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \beta_{yx} \\ \Delta \beta_{zx} & -\Delta \beta_{zx} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]  

For set \( \left[ \hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\theta}_4 \hat{\theta}_6 \right] \), the transformation matrix is defined as

\[
C_{a1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta \alpha_{xz} & -\Delta \alpha_{xy} \\ -\Delta \alpha_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \alpha_{yx} \\ \Delta \alpha_{zx} & -\Delta \alpha_{zx} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

When the six-accelerometer INS bears the specific force \( \bar{a} = \left[ a_x \ a_y \ a_z \right]^T \), the accelerometer outputs are expressed as an analog electrical signal \( P_{aj} \) that relates to the scale factor \( SF_{aj} \) and bias \( b_{aj}, \ j = 1 \cdots 6 \). The equations of analog electrical signal \( P_{aj} \) are shown as

\[
P_{a1} = SF_{a1} (T) \int_0^T \left( b_{a1} (T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (1 + \Delta \alpha_{xy}) a_x + (1 - \Delta \alpha_{xy} - \Delta \alpha_{xz}) a_y + \Delta \alpha_{xz} a_z \right] dt \right),
\]

\[
P_{a2} = SF_{a2} (T) \int_0^T \left( b_{a2} (T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (1 + \Delta \beta_{yx}) a_x + (1 - \Delta \beta_{yx} - \Delta \beta_{zx}) a_y + \Delta \beta_{zx} a_z \right] \right) dt.
\]
+ Δβ_{xz} a_y^b + (1 + Δβ_{xz} - Δβ_{xy}) a_z^b \right) dt, \quad (16b)

P_{a_3} = SF_{a_3} \begin{bmatrix} \left[ b_{a_3}(T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ - (Δβ_{yz} + Δβ_{yx}) a_x^b \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. + a_y^b + (1 + Δβ_{yx} - Δβ_{xy}) a_z^b \right) \right] \right) dt, \quad (16c)

P_{a_4} = SF_{a_4} \begin{bmatrix} \left[ b_{a_4}(T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ - (Δα_{yz} + Δα_{yx}) a_x^b \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. - (1 + Δα_{yx} - Δα_{xy}) a_y^b + a_z^b \right) \right] \right) dt, \quad (16d)

P_{a_5} = SF_{a_5} \begin{bmatrix} \left[ b_{a_5}(T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ - (1 - Δβ_{yz}) a_x^b \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. - Δβ_{xz} a_y^b + (1 - Δβ_{xz} + Δβ_{xy}) a_z^b \right) \right] \right) dt, \quad (16e)

P_{a_6} = SF_{a_6} \begin{bmatrix} \left[ b_{a_6}(T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ - (1 - Δα_{yz}) a_x^b \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. + (1 + Δα_{yz} + Δα_{yx}) a_y^b - Δα_{yx} a_z^b \right) \right] \right) dt. \quad (16f)

In the multi-attitude static test the scale factor, biases and orientation error can be determined by the gravity effect. For the set \[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{α}_1^b \bar{α}_2^b \bar{α}_3^b \end{bmatrix} \] with twelve error parameters, at least six attitudes are requested to measure eighteen sensors’ output for determining the error parameters. The six attitudes are shown as Table 2. By (16b), (16c), (16e) and Table 2, there are eighteen output equations which \( P_{a_{ij}} \) can be obtained, where the index \( i \) is the accelerometer number and index \( j \) is the attitude number. From the eighteen output equations, the scale factors are found as

\[
\text{Table 2. Six attitudes for the set } \begin{bmatrix} \bar{α}_1^b \bar{α}_2^b \bar{α}_3^b \end{bmatrix}.
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_1} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [1 0 1]^T )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_4} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [1 0 1]^T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_2} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 0]^T )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_5} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 1]^T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_3} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [1 1 0]^T )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_6} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 1]^T )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Table 3. Six attitudes for the set } \begin{bmatrix} \bar{α}_1^b \bar{α}_2^b \bar{α}_3^b \end{bmatrix}.
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_1} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [1 0 1]^T )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_4} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [1 1 0]^T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_2} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 1]^T )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_5} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 0]^T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_3} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 0]^T )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \bar{α}_{a_6} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} [0 1 1]^T )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
SF_{a_2}(T) = \frac{(P_{a_{21}} - P_{a_{22}}) + 3(P_{a_{23}} - P_{a_{24}}) - 2(P_{a_{25}} - P_{a_{26}})}{4 g T}, \quad (17a)
\]
\[
SF_{a_3}(T) = -\frac{(P_{a_{31}} - P_{a_{32}}) - (P_{a_{33}} - P_{a_{34}}) + 2(P_{a_{35}} - P_{a_{36}})}{2 g T}, \quad (17b)
\]
\[
SF_{a_5}(T) = \frac{3(P_{a_{51}} - P_{a_{52}}) + (P_{a_{53}} - P_{a_{54}}) - 2(P_{a_{55}} - P_{a_{56}})}{4 g T}. \quad (17c)
\]
Substituting the above equations into the eighteen output equations, the bias and orientation error can be determined by the least square method.

Similar to above derivation, the six attitudes for the set \[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{α}_1^b \bar{α}_2^b \bar{α}_3^b \end{bmatrix} \] are shown as Table 3. The scale factors for the set \[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{α}_1^b \bar{α}_2^b \bar{α}_3^b \end{bmatrix} \] are

\[
SF_{a_1}(T) = \frac{2(P_{a_{11}} - P_{a_{12}}) + 3(P_{a_{13}} - P_{a_{14}}) + (P_{a_{15}} - P_{a_{16}})}{4 g T}, \quad (18a)
\]
\[
SF_{a_4}(T) = \frac{2(P_{a_{41}} - P_{a_{42}}) + (P_{a_{43}} - P_{a_{44}}) + (P_{a_{45}} - P_{a_{46}})}{2 g T}, \quad (18b)
\]
\[
SF_{a_6}(T) = \frac{2(P_{a_{61}} - P_{a_{62}}) + (P_{a_{63}} - P_{a_{64}}) + 3(P_{a_{65}} - P_{a_{66}})}{4 g T}. \quad (18c)
\]
The bias and orientation error can be determined by the least square method from the eighteen output equations.

4.2. The location calibration

Assuming the real position vector of each accelerometer is shown as

\[
\hat{ρ}_j^* = \bar{ρ}_j + Δ\bar{ρ}_j, \quad j = 1 \ldots 6, \quad (19)
\]
where
- \( \hat{ρ}_j \) is ideal position vector of accelerometer \( j \), which is shown as table 1,
- \( Δ\bar{ρ}_j \equiv [Δx_j Δy_j Δz_j]^T \) is defined as the position error vector of accelerometer \( j \).

Each accelerometer will be generated three centripetal forces by the angular rates in \( x, y \) and \( z \) axes respectively. Through comparing the differences between the ideal and measurement outputs of six accelerometers we can obtain the location error. By centripetal force accelerometer \( j \) can sense the acceleration.

\[
\tilde{f}_j^b = \bar{α}_j^b x \bar{α}_j^b \bar{ρ}_j + C_j^b \bar{G}^i. \quad (20)
\]
The output of each accelerometer is represented as
\[ P_{ij} = SF_{ij} \left( \hat{f}_j^b \cdot \hat{b}_j + b_{ij} \right). \] (21)

We can determine the location errors when three angular rates test in laboratory is completed.

### 5. COMPENSATOR DESIGN

In this section, we propose a compensator to compensate misalignment of six-accelerometer INS. The compensator scheme is based on location and orientation errors.

Considering the position error effect, the measured specific forces \( \hat{f}_j^b \), \( j = 1 \cdots 6 \) firstly, and

\[ \hat{f}_j^b = \hat{f}_j^b + \Delta \hat{f}_j^b, \] (22)

where

- \( \hat{f}_j^b = C^b_i \cdot \hat{v}_i + \hat{b}_j^b \times \hat{b}_j + \hat{\omega}_j^b \times \hat{\rho}_j - C^b_i \cdot \hat{g}_i, \)
- \( \Delta \hat{f}_j^b = \hat{b}_j^b \times \Delta \hat{\rho}_j + \hat{\omega}_j^b \times \Delta \hat{\rho}_j, \)
- \( \hat{f}_j^b \) is the ideal specific force of accelerometer \( j \),
- \( \Delta \hat{f}_j^b \) is the specific force error, which are all in body-frame.

The accelerometer output measurement must consider the orientation error effect. The measurements \( s^* \) of the accelerometers are represented by ideal measurements and transformation matrices. The measurements \( s^* \) of set \([ \hat{\theta}_2^b \hat{\theta}_3^b \hat{\theta}_5^b ] \) and \([ \hat{\theta}_4^b \hat{\theta}_6^b \] are written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2^* \\
  s_3^* \\
  s_5^*
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \beta_{xz} & \Delta \beta_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \beta_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \beta_{yx} \\
  \Delta \beta_{zy} & -\Delta \beta_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2 \\
  s_3 \\
  s_5
\end{bmatrix},
\] (23)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1^* \\
  s_4^* \\
  s_6^*
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \alpha_{xz} & -\Delta \alpha_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \alpha_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \alpha_{yx} \\
  \Delta \alpha_{zy} & -\Delta \alpha_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 \\
  s_4 \\
  s_6
\end{bmatrix},
\] (24)

Misalignment error involves orientation and location error. The measurement of accelerometer with misalignment is represented as \( s^{**} \). The measurements \( s^{**} \) of set \([ \hat{\theta}_2^b \hat{\theta}_3^b \hat{\theta}_5^b ] \) and \([ \hat{\theta}_4^b \hat{\theta}_6^b \] should be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2^{**} \\
  s_3^{**} \\
  s_5^{**}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \beta_{xz} & \Delta \beta_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \beta_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \beta_{yx} \\
  \Delta \beta_{zy} & -\Delta \beta_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2 \\
  s_3 \\
  s_5
\end{bmatrix},
\] (25)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1^{**} \\
  s_4^{**} \\
  s_6^{**}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \alpha_{xz} & -\Delta \alpha_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \alpha_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \alpha_{yx} \\
  \Delta \alpha_{zy} & -\Delta \alpha_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 \\
  s_4 \\
  s_6
\end{bmatrix},
\] (26)

### 5.1. Location compensation

From (23)-(26) we can know that the compensation design is an algorithm with sequence. First, it is location compensation to get the output \( s^* \). Second, it is orientation compensation to get the ideal output \( s \).

The location compensation outputs \( s_j^* \) can be found from (25) and (26)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2^* \\
  s_3^* \\
  s_5^*
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \beta_{xz} & \Delta \beta_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \beta_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \beta_{yx} \\
  \Delta \beta_{zy} & -\Delta \beta_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_2^{**} \\
  s_3^{**} \\
  s_5^{**}
\end{bmatrix},
\] (27)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1^* \\
  s_4^* \\
  s_6^*
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & \Delta \alpha_{xz} & -\Delta \alpha_{xy} \\
  -\Delta \alpha_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \alpha_{yx} \\
  \Delta \alpha_{zy} & -\Delta \alpha_{zx} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1^{**} \\
  s_4^{**} \\
  s_6^{**}
\end{bmatrix},
\] (28)

\[
\Delta \hat{\rho}_j = \hat{b}_j^b \times \Delta \hat{\rho}_j + \hat{\omega}_j^b \times \Delta \hat{\rho}_j
\]

Fig. 3. Six-accelerometer INS compensator.
5.2. Orientation compensation

The orientation compensation output \( s_j \) can be found from (23) and (24)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
s_2 \\
s_3 \\
s_5 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \Delta \beta_{xz} & -\Delta \beta_{xy} \\
-\Delta \beta_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \beta_{yx} \\
\Delta \beta_{zy} & -\Delta \beta_{zx} & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
s_2^* \\
s_3^* \\
s_5^* \\
\end{bmatrix},
\] (29)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
s_1 \\
s_4 \\
s_6 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \Delta \alpha_{xz} & -\Delta \alpha_{xy} \\
-\Delta \alpha_{yz} & 1 & \Delta \alpha_{yx} \\
\Delta \alpha_{zy} & -\Delta \alpha_{zx} & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
s_1^* \\
s_4^* \\
s_6^* \\
\end{bmatrix}. 
\] (30)

The compensator flow chart is shown as Fig. 3.

The specific force errors could be obtained by (22) and decreased by designed compensator. Thus the correct angular rate and angular acceleration are calculated by (6). Since the computed errors of angular rate and angular acceleration can't be obtained by calibration in laboratory. The designed compensator in this paper ignores the effects of angular rate and angular acceleration errors.

6. EXPERIMENT OF ORIENTATION CALIBRATION

This calibration experiment divides two sets, \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_2^b & \vec{\theta}_3^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_4^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b & \vec{\theta}_6^b \end{bmatrix} \). Through the experiments of Table 2 and Table 3, we can get the measurement outputs \( P_a \). The scale factor \( SF_a \), bias \( b_a \) and orientation errors \( \Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta \) can be obtained by the \( P_a \).

6.1. Experiment setting

In this experiment, it designs and manufactures a six-accelerometer inertial measurement unit (IMU) which is shown as Fig. 4. The IMU fixes onto an index table that is shown as Fig. 5. When changing the index table, it will cause the IMU attitude changing. The six-accelerometer scheme can sense the gravity. We choose type QA-1100 accelerometer for this experiment, and its accuracy is 10milli-g but the index table can reach \( 5 \mu g \) for its accuracy. Thus, the index table can be satisfied for the experiment requirement. The orientation calibration is shown as Fig. 6.

6.2. Experiment result

In this orientation calibration experiment we got measurement data of two sets which are \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_2^b & \vec{\theta}_3^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_4^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b & \vec{\theta}_6^b \end{bmatrix} \). Because the measurement is random signal, each experiment set takes 1000 data and its mean value. The mean values for the set \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_2^b & \vec{\theta}_3^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b \end{bmatrix} \) and set \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_4^b & \vec{\theta}_5^b & \vec{\theta}_6^b \end{bmatrix} \) are shown as Table 4 and Table 5 respectively. Those are the measurement outputs \( P_a \) which are used to compute the scalar factors, bias and orientation errors, whose parameters are shown as Table 6.
6.3. Navigation error simulation

According to Table 6, a navigation simulation is designed to demonstrate the compensation effect. The accelerometer random error is assumed as 1 milli-g and the vehicle motion with linear acceleration is 
\[
\mathbf{a}_l = g_\text{m/sec}^2.
\]
Initial vehicle motion is zero. Dynamic states of vehicle can be represented by six-accelerometer scheme output.

Before compensation, the errors of vehicle acceleration are shown as Figs. 7 and 8 for linear and angular acceleration respectively. The linear acceleration errors are bounded about -8 m/sec^2 to 2 m/sec^2 and angular acceleration errors are bounded about -0.1 rad/sec to 0.5 rad/sec^2.

After compensation, the errors of vehicle acceleration are shown as Figs. 9 and 10 for linear and angular acceleration respectively. The linear acceleration errors are bounded about -0.002 m/sec^2 to 0.003 m/sec^2 and angular acceleration errors are bounded about -0.003 rad/sec to 0.003 rad/sec^2.

According to the result in navigation error simulation, the linear and angular acceleration errors after compensation are less about two order than those before compensation. If the six-accelerometer mechanism had been calibrated, the effect of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a21})</td>
<td>-0.007018</td>
<td>(P_{a31})</td>
<td>0.245359</td>
<td>(P_{a51})</td>
<td>0.331528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a22})</td>
<td>0.006972</td>
<td>(P_{a32})</td>
<td>-0.244733</td>
<td>(P_{a52})</td>
<td>-0.332370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a23})</td>
<td>0.332051</td>
<td>(P_{a33})</td>
<td>0.256841</td>
<td>(P_{a53})</td>
<td>0.007012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a24})</td>
<td>-0.332073</td>
<td>(P_{a34})</td>
<td>-0.256300</td>
<td>(P_{a54})</td>
<td>-0.007847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a25})</td>
<td>0.161815</td>
<td>(P_{a35})</td>
<td>0.501647</td>
<td>(P_{a55})</td>
<td>0.162355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{a26})</td>
<td>-0.162231</td>
<td>(P_{a36})</td>
<td>-0.500211</td>
<td>(P_{a56})</td>
<td>-0.162492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The determined parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalar factor(v/g)</th>
<th>(\vec{b}_2)</th>
<th>(\vec{b}_3)</th>
<th>(\vec{b}_5)</th>
<th>(\Delta \beta_{xy})</th>
<th>(\Delta \beta_{yz})</th>
<th>(\Delta \beta_{xz})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a2})</td>
<td>0.332572</td>
<td>-0.000242</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{xy})</td>
<td>1.117319</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{yz})</td>
<td>-0.738742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a3})</td>
<td>0.500242</td>
<td>0.000867</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{xz})</td>
<td>-0.175730</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{yx})</td>
<td>2.454457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a5})</td>
<td>0.339215</td>
<td>-0.000891</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{yz})</td>
<td>-0.581196</td>
<td>(\Delta \beta_{xy})</td>
<td>2.482135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a1})</td>
<td>0.509874</td>
<td>-0.000731</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{xy})</td>
<td>-2.654967</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{yz})</td>
<td>-0.737387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a4})</td>
<td>2.493301</td>
<td>-0.007977</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{xz})</td>
<td>2.596778</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{yx})</td>
<td>0.119537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SF_{a6})</td>
<td>2.476663</td>
<td>-0.004691</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{yz})</td>
<td>-0.838703</td>
<td>(\Delta \alpha_{xy})</td>
<td>-1.451107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compensation could have reached a satisfied navigation results.

7. CONCLUSION

The navigation accuracy of the six-accelerometer INS depends on the misalignment. The six-accelerometer INS accuracy is increased by the designed compensator which can compensate the misalignment. According to the simulation results, it is shown that the angular acceleration error and the linear acceleration error are all bounded within a small range before compensation. The linear acceleration errors are more sensitive to time than the angular acceleration errors. In the future study, the six-accelerometer scheme will integrate with GPS to enhance navigation accuracy.
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